

obtained, the rules of the program containing the literals on the now concealed rule are not taken into account.

5 Conclusion

In this work we presented an approach to emotional reasoning for believable agents, by introducing a mechanism to progressively build a map of knowledge for reasoning. We presented the notion of inference graph for progressive reasoning in an emotional context. In this model, knowledge is partially highlighted and noticed by the agent. Since emotions may affect reasoning and reasoning may affect emotions, both elements evolve dependently over each cycle in the process. Both the emotional state guide the agent to make adequate inferences, as the inferences made by the agent trigger relevant changes over the emotional state. This allows the modelling of believable reasoning behaviour, where emotions are determinant in the recovery and use of knowledge in a given situation.

References

1. Aylett, R., Vala, M., Sequeira, P., Paiva, A.: Fearnot! an emergent narrative approach to virtual dramas for anti-bullying education. In: Cavazza, M., Donikian, S. (eds.) *Virtual Storytelling. Using Virtual Reality Technologies for Storytelling*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 4871, pp. 202–205. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2007)
2. Bailey, C., You, J., Acton, G., Rankin, A., Katchabaw, M.: Believability through psychosocial behaviour: Creating bots that are more engaging and entertaining. In: *Believable Bots*, pp. 29–68. Springer (2012)
3. Dalibón, S.E.F., Martínez, D.C., Simari, G.R.: Emotion-directed argument awareness for autonomous agent reasoning. *Inteligencia Artificial, Revista Iberoamericana de Inteligencia Artificial* 15(50), 30–45 (2012)
4. Doyle, J.: A truth maintenance system. *Artif. Intell.* 12(3), 231–272 (1979)
5. García, A.J., Simari, G.R.: Defeasible logic programming an argumentative approach. *TPLP* pp. 95–138 (2004)
6. Lim, M.Y., Dias, J., Aylett, R., Paiva, A.: Creating adaptive affective autonomous npcs. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 24(2), 287–311 (2012)
7. Nabi, R.L.: Exploring the framing effects of emotion do discrete emotions differentially influence information accessibility, information seeking, and policy preference? *Communication Research* 30(2), 224–247 (2003)
8. Pollock, J.L.: *Cognitive Carpentry: A Blueprint for How to Build a Person*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA (1995)
9. Tang, Y., Sklar, E., Parsons, S.: An argumentation engine: Argtrust. In: *Ninth International Workshop on Argumentation in Multiagent Systems* (2012)
10. Togelius, J., Yannakakis, G.N., Karakovskiy, S., Shaker, N.: Assessing believability. In: *Believable Bots*, pp. 215–230. Springer (2012)
11. Verheij, H.: *Rules, Reasons, Arguments: Formal Studies of Argumentation and Defeat*. Universiteit Maastricht (1996)
12. Walton, D.: *Argument Structure: A Pragmatic Theory* (Toronto Studies in Philosophy). University of Toronto Press (Aug 1996)